

UNIVERSITY of HOUSTON

FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION REPORTS

Administered By: Measurement and Evaluation Center

Export PDF

COLLEGE OF LIBERAL ARTS & SOCIAL SCIENCES

Spring 2023 Instructor: Zhou,Hui Instruction Mode: Face-to-Face

GOVT2305-17671 US Govt: Congress,Pres & Crts

Section 1 Instructor

	Relative Frequency Distribution of Response						Section Statistics			Dept. Statistics			College Statistics		
	N	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	NA	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.
1) The instructor provided a syllabus and reviewed course expectations at the beginning of the semester.	24	79.2	8.3	12.5	0.0	0.0		4.67	0.7	1968	4.65	0.66	15658	4.65	0.67
2) The instructor presented the course in a clear, logical and organized manner.	24	66.7	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0		4.5	0.78	1964	4.45	0.89	15627	4.49	0.88
3) The instructor encouraged student participation, questions, and discussion as the class size allowed.	24	58.3	16.7	20.8	4.2	0.0		4.29	0.95	1943	4.47	0.83	15366	4.54	0.8
4) The instructor encouraged critical thinking and evaluation of ideas and evidence.	24	58.3	12.5	29.2	0.0	0.0		4.29	0.91	1954	4.43	0.85	15552	4.52	0.81
5) The instructor was well prepared for class.	23	73.9	13.0	13.0	0.0	0.0		4.61	0.72	1945	4.52	0.83	15438	4.51	0.86
6) Tests, examinations, projects, papers, or creative activities reflected materials emphasized in the course.	24	62.5	25.0	12.5	0.0	0.0		4.5	0.72	1960	4.41	0.93	15618	4.51	0.84
7) The grading practices were clear, consistently followed and fair.	24	79.2	8.3	12.5	0.0	0.0		4.67	0.7	1960	4.44	0.89	15625	4.47	0.89
8) The instructor evaluated exams, quizzes, papers or creative activities in a timely manner.	24	70.8	16.7	12.5	0.0	0.0		4.58	0.72	1951	4.44	0.91	15557	4.44	0.92
9) The teaching assistant was receptive to questions.	15	60.0	13.3	26.7	0.0	0.0	9	4.33	0.9	1356	4.21	0.97	11298	4.34	0.94
10) The teaching assistant was available for assistance to students.	15	60.0	13.3	26.7	0.0	0.0	9	4.33	0.9	1332	4.16	1	11159	4.32	0.95

Section 2 Common University-Wide Items

	Relative Frequency Distribution of Response					Section Statistics			Dept. Statistics			College Statistics		
	Outstanding	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Poor	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.
11) The overall teaching effectiveness of this instructor is	41.7	29.2	29.2	0.0	0.0	24	4.12	0.85	1973	4.22	0.96	15716	4.29	0.96
12) The overall quality of this course is	45.8	20.8	33.3	0.0	0.0	24	4.12	0.9	1966	4.16	0.98	15686	4.24	0.98
13) This instructors availability for individual assistance is	41.7	29.2	25.0	4.2	0.0	24	4.08	0.93	1971	4.15	1	15686	4.31	0.95
14) This instructors demonstration of respect for students is	66.7	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	24	4.46	0.83	1968	4.44	0.85	15644	4.48	0.85

Section 3

Never Very Seldom Quarter of the time About half the time More than half the time

15) How often did the instructor come more than ten minutes late?	79.2	16.7	4.2	0.0	0.0
	Never	Very Seldom	Quarter of the time	About half the time	More than half the time
16) How often did the instructor either cancel or not show up to teach?	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

Section 4 Student Information

	Freshman	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Graduate	Post Baccalaureate
17) Classification:	54.2	25.0	4.2	16.7	0.0	0.0
	Required course	Required, but would have taken it anyway	Interest in the subject	Other		
18) Reason I enrolled in this course:	91.7	4.2	4.2		0.0	
	This department	Another department in the College of Liberal Arts and Social Sciences	Natural Sciences and Mathematics	Other		
19) My major is in:	0.0	29.2	16.7	54.2		
	Much less than in most courses I have taken	Somewhat less than in most courses I have taken	About the same as in most courses I have taken	Somewhat more than in most courses I have taken	Much more than in most course I have taken	
20) Compared to other courses at the same level, the amount of work I did was:	12.5	25.0	58.3	4.2	0.0	
	More than half of the time	About half of the time	About a quarter of the time	Only a few times	Never	
21) How often did you miss class?	12.5	8.3	8.3	37.5	33.3	
	<2.0	2.00 - 2.49	2.50 - 2.99	3.00 - 3.50	3.51 - 4.00	
22) In what range does your current GPA fall?	8.3	12.5	16.7	29.2	33.3	

Section 5 Students' Comments

What, specifically, were the strengths of the class?

- 1) na
- 2) His content of the material. The presentations are well put together.
- 3) The way that he went over the grading process.
- 4) N/A
- 5) Always take notes, he always has long periods of lectures so it is important to be accelerated in this class.
- 6) N/A
- 7) he prepares you for the test
- 8) Every lecture was well presented and important.
- 9) The clear assignments that were expected of us.
- 10) The civil rights and government laws.
- 11) Clear and concise instruction from the Professor.
- 12) n/a
- 13) homeworks helped to learn.
- 14) The lecture notes is very clear.
- 15) Flexible when it came to time management
- 16) Very straight forward class

How could the instructor improve the course?

- 1) na
- 2) Be more clear on the way things will be worded on the tests.
- 3) N/A
- 4) N/A
- 5) By showing history videos, try not to read on your PowerPoint word per word. Explain thoroughly to students about lectures and ask them if they have any questions.
- 6) N/A
- 7) Everything in this course is well prepared.
- 8) The instructor could offer more resources and examples.
- 9) In my opinion, everything was good.
- 10) Not needed.
- 11) n/a
- 12) Overly specific test questions, difficult.
- 13) The instructor could let students to discuss some questions.

14) nothing, I found this course enjoyable

15) Make the lectures more engaging

Would you recommend this instructor to other students? Why?

1) na

2) Yes. Great professor and truly cares about the student's success.

3) I would because he helps you figure out what grade you would need in order to face the class.

4) N/A

5) Yes, he's polite.

6) N/A

7) yes, his homework assignments help prepare you for the test

8) Yes I would recommend this instructor to other students because it is a very settled class and very important class.

9) Not really, I don't think this professor specializes in government, and I think a professor who specializes in it would be a better option.

10) Yes, I would recommend, he is providing what you need, explaining everything and in general he was good.

11) Sure. He is professional and organized.

12) n/a

13) Not more than others, due to test questions.

14) Yes, the instructor is much more patient to students.

15) yes

16) I think so. he is not bad and if you pay attention to the class.

What other comments and suggestions would you like to make about the instructor or the course?

1) na

2) N/A

3) N/A

4) n/a

5) N/A

6) The exams were somewhat hard.

7) No!

8) I liked this class.

9) None.

10) n/a

11) N/A

12) Continue the inquisitives

Mean= 5 point scale, higher scores desirable

N, Mean=The college statistic data for items 11 through 14 include Distance Education.

© 2023 The University of Houston. All rights reserved. 4800 Calhoun Road, Houston, Texas 77204 (713) 743-2255